

PTO/SB92 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

## Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop: Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date

May 27, 2004

Date

Geraldine Gress

Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue 29th Floor New York, New York 10022-7650

Tel: 212-521-5400; Fax: 212-521-5450

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Applicant:

Adi BALAN, et al.

Serial No:

10/009,375

Filing Date:

April 9, 2002

For:

GAMMA CAMERA AND CT SYSTEM

**Enclosures:** 

(1) Transmittal Letter (in duplicate);

(2) Response To Office Action (2 pages);

(3) Acknowledgement Postcard.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

JUN 0 1 2004

IFW.

2878\_

JUN O 7 ZOON					
TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)				Docket No. 037/02528	
In Re Application (	Of: Adi BALAN et a	ıl.			
Application No. 10/009,375	Filing Date April 9, 2002	Examiner GABOR, Otilia	Customer No.	Group Art Unit 2878	Confirmation No.
Title: GAMMA	CAMERA AND CT	SYSTEM			
		COMMISSIONER FOR PA	TENTS:		
Transmitted herew	ith is:				
Response to Office Action dated February 28, 2004					
☐ A check in t ☐ The Directo as describe ☐ Cha ☐ Cre	al fee is required. he amount of r is hereby authorize		it Account No.		03-3419
Paul 3	Signature		Dated: May	28, 2004	
Paul FENSTER, Re	eg. No. 33,877				
William H. Dippert, Esq. Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor New York, NY 10022-7650 Tel: (212) 521-5400			I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.		
() 100			Signatur	e of Person Malling C	Correspondence
<b>cc</b> :					illing Correspondence

©37/02528 A03

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Adi BALAN, et al.

Serial Number:

10/009,375

Filed:

April 9, 2002

For:

GAMMA CAMERA AND CT SYSTEM

Art Unit:

2878

Examiner:

Otilia GABOR

Mail Stop Amendments Hon. Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Further to an office action dated February 28, 2004, the following is applicants' response:

## REMARKS

The present application contains claims 1-8, 29-49 and 51-63. Claims 29-47 have been elected in response to a restriction requirement.

Claims 29-47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan, et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Morgan teaches a system in which a CT image is acquired first as a primary diagnostic image. Based on this image a decision is made as to whether an image in a different modality is necessary. This second image which can be a nuclear medicine image can be restricted in area to the area that is of interest, based on the CT image. This allows for avoiding the second imaging modality unless indicated by the CT image and also, in some cases, for providing a higher resolution image in the second modality. Since the CT is faster than nuclear imaging, the CT will be used to image all the patients and the nuclear medicine on some of the patients.

That this is the teaching of Morgan and that this is the order of taking the images is clearly set forth at col. 7, lines 22-63 and col. 10, lines 27-34.

Applicants submit that there is no prima facte case of unpatentability since there is no motivation to reverse the order of the imaging. In fact, there is a built in motivation, as described at col. 10, lines 27-34 to perform the CT first. Applicants submit that the Examiner has presented no motivation and that there is, in fact, no motivation for reversing the order of the examinations.